Visual Object Recognition Computational Models and Neurophysiological Mechanisms Neuro 130/230. Harvard College/GSAS 78454



### Visual Object Recognition Computational Models and Neurophysiological Mechanisms Neurobiology 230. Harvard College/GSAS 78454

#### Class 1 [09/01/2021]. Introduction to Vision

#### Note: no class on 09/06/2021

Class 2 [09/13/2021]. Natural image statistics and the retina

Class 3 [09/20/2021]. The Phenomenology of Vision

Class 4 [09/27/2021]. Learning from Lesions

Class 5 [10/04/2021]. Primary Visual Cortex

#### Note: no class on 10/11/2021

Class 6 [10/18/2021]. Adventures into terra incognita

Class 7 [10/25/2021]. From the Highest Echelons of Visual Processing to Cognition

Class 8 [11/01/2021]. First Steps into in silico vision [Will Xiao]

Class 9 [11/08/2021]. Teaching Computers how to see

#### Class 10 [11/15/2021]. Computer Vision

Class 11 [11/22/2021]. Connecting Vision to the rest of Cognition

Class 12 [11/29/2021]. Visual Consciousness

#### FINAL EXAM, PAPER DUE 12/14/2021. No extensions.

# Some computer vision problems

### Classification



Flowers

### Classification+Localization



Flowers

#### Object detection



Building People Tree Flowers

### Instance segmentation



### Face identification



J. Aniston R. Whiterspoon

### Action recognition



Dancing

#### Many more...

- Face detection
- Distance
  estimation
- Video prediction
- Image captioning

# Many more architectures

MSRA (2015) - 4.94% Label Loss Softmax Dense Dense Cense Reshap Spatial pyramid pooling VGG (2014) - 6.8% **Optimized PReLU** Baidu (2015) - 5.33% Improved (random) initialization Label V Loss Softmax Dense A Dense A Conv3 GoogLeNet (2014) - 6.67% Inception module Multi-scale convolutions (including 1x1 filters) Minimal dense layers Auxiliary classifiers Max7 Max5 Max14 Label Label Label Loss A Dense A Avg7 A Inception Inception A Inception A Inception Inception A Inception Incept Max2 Label Loss A Softmax Dense A Dense A Reshape Convl Conv3 Label AlexNet (2012) - 15.3% Clarifai (2013) - 11.7% Label Label Loss Soffma Dense Dense Reshap Conv1 Inception Inception Module Conv1 Max3 

# Note: lots of parameters!!!

- Image of 256 x 256 x 3 pixels = 196,608 inputs
- 1000 output categories (imagenet)
- Simplest scenario: go from pixels to outputs
- $\sim 200 \times 10^6$  parameters
- ~ 10<sup>6</sup> training images in ImageNet

# Data, data, data

////// З A99999999999999999999999999 **MNIST** 

**MSCOCO** 

### QUICKDRAW

**IMAGENET** 

### Many more ...

- Galaxies
- Plants
- Clinical images
- Cell types

# English test

- 1. Briard
- 2. Cuirass
- 3. Consomme
- 4. Shoji
- 5. Busby
- 6. Weevil

# English test



### Cuirass



#### Consomme



Shoji

Busby

### Weevil







# ImageNet



~1,000 images/category

# Computational models can approximate neuronal responses along the ventral visual cortex



# The better the biological approximation the better performance in computer vision tasks



# Predicting eye movements during visual search



Zhang et al, 2018

# Predicting eye movements during visual search



### Machines surpass humans in pattern recognition tasks





Face recognition better than forensic experts and human "superrecognizers" (Phillips et al 2018)

Plant and animal classification (iNaturalist, Van Horn et al. 2018) ~ 1M photos from 5,089 taxa and 13 "super-classes": expert human levels and better than naïve observers

Pose tracking in animal biomedical research (Matthis 2018)

### Computer vision can help segment biological images



### Computer vision for action recognition

#### Α



BreastStroke 62





Bowling 13





BrushingTeeth 91

BodyWeightSquats 101 BlowDryHair 8 5





SoccerJuggling 62



Jacquot et al CVPR 2020

### Automatic pose estimation for ethology research



Mathis et al 2018

# Face recognition by computer vision

Same or different?

Phillips et al 2018

# Face recognition by computer vision





Phillips et al 2018

### Species classification and detection



Van Horn et al 2018

### Species classification and detection



Figure 7. Sample detection results for the 2,854-class model that was evaluated across all validation images. Green boxes represent correct species level detections, while reds are mistakes. The bottom row depicts some failure cases. We see that small objects pose a challenge for classification, even when localized well.

#### Van Horn et al 2018

# Applications of computer vision to clinical diagnosis

- Excellent performance in many clinical diagnosis tasks
   E.g. breast tumor detection
   E.g. diabetic retinopathy
- Reliability, consistency, accurac
- Machines can discover properties in the data that humans never even thought of before

E.g. cardiovascular disease risk from fundus photographs

- Beware of incidental findings
- Beware of biases in training data



### What is common to all these faces?



### Generative adversarial networks (GANs)



Goodfellow 2014

# **Deep Dreaming**



nonyan et al 2014 Kreiman 2019

## Xdream: Discovering neuronal tuning preferences



## Style transfer



Gatys 2015

### The portrait of Edmond de Belamy



Sold at Christie's auction: \$432,500

## Predicting the next video frames



William Lotter, David Cox

## PredNet captures neurophysiological properties!



William Lotter, David Cox

# Adversarial examples



Szegedy 2013

# **Summary**

Models of ventral visual cortex provide a first order approximation to visual behavior (e.g., recognition, eye movements)

Models of ventral visual cortex provide a first-order approximation to neural responses

Computer vision has shown major strides in the last decade in many applications Face recognition Clinical diagnosis Object segmentation Tracking behavior Action recognition

Inverting recognition models yields powerful image generators

A model that predicts what will happen next can learn in a self-supervised manner and captures fundamental responses in visual cortex

### Visual Object Recognition Computational Models and Neurophysiological Mechanisms Neuro 130/230. Harvard College/GSAS 78454

