

Chapter III. The phenomenology of seeing

3.1. What you get ain't what you see

(Anstis 1970, Carrasco 2011, Eagleman 2001, Fahle & Zrenner 1991, Firestone & Scholl 2015, Green & Swets 1966, Horowitz & Wolfe 1998, Ishai & Sagi 1995, James 1890, Johansson 1973, Julesz 1991, Julesz 1995, Knill & Richards 1996, Kosslyn 1994, Mooney 1957, O'Regan et al 1999, Purves & Lotto 2003, Pylyshyn 2003, Reagan 2000, Simons & Rensink 2005, Treisman & Gelade 1980, Wolfe 2007, Wolfe & Horowitz 2004)

3.2. The whole can be more than the parts

(Biederman 1987, Busey & Vanderkolk 2005, Gauthier et al 1999, Nakayama et al 1995, Nakayama & Shimojo 1990, Rock 1981, Spillmann & Ehrenstein 2003, Tanaka & Farah 1993, Ullman et al 2016, Valentine 1988, Yin 1969, Young et al 1987)

3.3. The visual system tolerates large image transformations

(Biederman & Bar 1999, Biederman & Cooper 1991a, Borges 1951, Bulthoff & Edelman 1992, Bulthoff et al 1995, Cooper et al 1992, Edelman 1995, Edelman & Duvdevani-Bar 1997, Geirhos et al 2018, Marr & Nishihara 1978, Sanocki 2001, Shepard & Metzler 1971, Sinha 2002)

3.4. Pattern completion: inferring the whole from visible parts

(Biederman & Cooper 1991b, Bregman 1981, Brown & Koch 1993, Brown & Koch 2000, Gosselin & Schyns 2001, Johnson & Olshausen 2005b, Kellman et al 1998, Nakayama et al 1995, Rauschenberger & Yantis 2001, Sekuler & Palmer 1992, Shimojo & Nakayama 1990, Singh 2004, Tang & Kreiman 2017, Tang et al 2018, Ullman et al 2016)

3.5. Visual recognition is very fast

(Anstis 1970, Brockmole et al 2002, Cauchoux et al 2016, Coltheart 1980, Enns & Di Lollo 2000, Fahrenfort et al 2007, Felsten & Wasserman 1980, Intraub 1980, Johansson 1973, Johnson & Olshausen 2005a, Johnson & Olshausen 2003, Kellman & Cohen 1984, Kirchner & Thorpe 2006, Li et al 2002, Potter & Levy 1969, Singer & Kreiman 2014, Tang et al 2018, Thorpe et al 1996, Vanrullen 2011, vanRullen & Thorpe 2002, Vernon 1954, Wiggs & Martin 1998)

3.6. Spatial context matters

(Castelhano & Heaven 2010, Dolan et al 1997, Eagleman 2001, Oliva & Torralba 2007, Sinha & Poggio 1996, Spillmann & Werner 1996, Vo et al 2019)

3.7. The value of experience

(Di Lollo 1977, Di Lollo 1980, Di Lollo et al 1988, Gauthier et al 1999, Gauthier & Logothetis 2000, Gauthier & Nelson 2001, Gauthier & Tarr 1997, Karni & Sagi 1993, Mooney 1957, Standing 1973, Thompson & Burr 2009, Wallraven & Bulthoff 2001, Wang et al 2005, Whitaker & McGraw 2000)

3.8. People are the same wherever you go

(Bargary et al 2017, Beck et al 2012, Bosten et al 2017, Mollon et al 2017)

3.9. Animals excel at vision too

(Allman & McGuinness 1988, Allman 1999, Bovet & Vauclair 2001, Carmona et al 2010, Egelhaaf & Warzecha 1999, Fabre-Thorpe 2003, Fize et al 2011, Gonzalez-Bellido et al 2013, Hatsopoulos et al 1995, Herrnstein 1990, Judd & Collet 1998, Nielsen et al 2006, Nilsson & Marshall 2020, Rajalingham et al 2018, Srihasam et al 2012, Tomonaga & Matsuzawa 1992, Zoccolan et al 2009)

3.10. References

- Allman J, McGuinness E. 1988. Visual cortex in primates. *Comparative Primate Biology* 4: 279-326
- Allman JM. 1999. *Evolving brains*. New York: W.H.Freeman and Company.
- Anstis SM. 1970. Phi movement as a subtraction process. *Vision research* 10: 1411-30
- Bargary G, Bosten JM, Goodbourn PT, Lawrence-Owen AJ, Hogg RE, Mollon JD. 2017. Individual differences in human eye movements: An oculomotor signature? *Vision research* 141: 157-69
- Beck J, Ma W, Pitkow X, Latham P, Pouget A. 2012. Not Noisy, Just Wrong: The Role of Suboptimal Inference in Behavioral Variability *Neuron* 74: 30-38
- Biederman I. 1987. Recognition-by-components: A theory of human image understanding. *Psychological Review* 24: 115-47
- Biederman I, Bar M. 1999. One-shot viewpoint invariance in matching novel objects. *Vision research* 39: 14
- Biederman I, Cooper EE. 1991a. Evidence for complete translational and reflectional invariance in visual object priming. *Perception* 20: 585-93
- Biederman I, Cooper EE. 1991b. Priming contour-deleted images: evidence for intermediate representations in visual object recognition. *Cognit Psychol* 23: 393-419

- Borges JL. 1951. *Fictions*. Paris: Gallimard. 208 p. pp.
- Bosten JM, Mollon JD, Peterzell DH, Webster MA. 2017. Individual differences as a window into the structure and function of the visual system. *Vision research* 141: 1-3
- Bovet D, Vauclair J. 2001. Judgment of conceptual identity in monkeys. *Psychon Bull Rev* 8: 470-5
- Bregman AL. 1981. Asking the "what for" question in auditory perception. pp. 99-118. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 19 pp.
- Brockmole JR, Wang RF, Irwin DE. 2002. Temporal integration between visual images and visual percepts. *Journal of experimental psychology. Human perception and performance* 28: 315-34
- Brown JM, Koch C. 1993. Influences of closure, occlusion, and size on the perception of fragmented pictures. *Perception & psychophysics* 53: 436-42
- Brown JM, Koch C. 2000. Influences of occlusion, color, and luminance on the perception of fragmented pictures. *Percept Mot Skills* 90: 1033-44
- Bulthoff H, Edelman S. 1992. Psychophysical support for a two-dimensional view interpolation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 89: 4
- Bulthoff HH, Edelman SY, Tarr MJ. 1995. How are three-dimensional objects represented in the brain? *Cerebral Cortex* 5: 247-60
- Busey TA, Vanderkolk JR. 2005. Behavioral and electrophysiological evidence for configural processing in fingerprint experts. *Vision research* 45: 431-48
- Carmona FD, Glosmann M, Ou J, Jimenez R, Collinson JM. 2010. Retinal development and function in a 'blind' mole. *Proc Biol Sci* 277: 1513-22
- Carrasco M. 2011. Visual attention: the past 25 years. *Vision research* 51: 1484-525
- Castelhano MS, Heaven C. 2010. The relative contribution of scene context and target features to visual search in scenes. *Atten Percept Psychophys* 72: 1283-97
- Cauchoix M, Crouzet SM, Fize D, Serre T. 2016. Fast ventral stream neural activity enables rapid visual categorization. *Neuroimage* 125: 280-90
- Coltheart M. 1980. Iconic memory and visible persistence. *Perception & psychophysics* 27: 183-228
- Cooper EE, Biederman I, Hummel JE. 1992. Metric invariance in object recognition: a review and further evidence. *Can J Psychol* 46: 191-214
- Di Lollo V. 1977. Temporal characteristics of iconic memory. *Nature* 267: 241-3
- Di Lollo V. 1980. Temporal integration in visual memory. *J Exp Psychol Gen* 109: 75-97
- Di Lollo V, Clark CD, Hogben JH. 1988. Separating visible persistence from retinal afterimages. *Perception & psychophysics* 44: 363-8
- Dolan RJ, Fink GR, Rolls ET, Booth M, Holmes A, et al. 1997. How the brain learns to see objects and faces in an impoverished context. *Nature* 389: 596-99
- Eagleman DM. 2001. Visual illusions and neurobiology. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2: 920-6

- Edelman S. 1995. Representation of similarity in three-dimensional object discrimination. *Neural computation* 7: 408-23
- Edelman S, Duvdevani-Bar S. 1997. Similarity, connectionism and the problem of representation in vision. *Neural computation* 9: 701-21
- Egelhaaf M, Warzecha A-K. 1999. Encoding of motion in real time by the fly visual system. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 9: 454-60
- Enns JT, Di Lollo V. 2000. What's new in visual masking? *Trends Cogn Sci* 4: 345-52
- Fabre-Thorpe M. 2003. Visual categorization: accessing abstraction in non-human primates. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences* 358: 1215-23
- Fahle M, Zrenner E. 1991. Visual psychophysics. *Current Opinion in Ophthalmology* 2: 717-28
- Fahrenfort JJ, Scholte HS, Lamme VAF. 2007. Masking Disrupts Reentrant Processing in Human Visual Cortex. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 19: 11
- Felsten G, Wasserman GS. 1980. Visual masking: mechanisms and theories. *Psychol Bull* 88: 329-53
- Firestone C, Scholl B. 2015. Cognition does not affect perception: evaluating the evidence for 'top-down' effects. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*
- Fize D, Cauchoux M, Fabre-Thorpe M. 2011. Humans and monkeys share visual representations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108: 7635-40
- Gauthier I, Behrmann M, Tarr M. 1999. Can face recognition really be dissociated from object recognition? *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 11: 349-70
- Gauthier I, Logothetis N. 2000. Is face recognition not so unique after all? *Cognitive Neuropsychology* 17: 125-42
- Gauthier I, Nelson C. 2001. The development of face expertise. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 11: 219-24
- Gauthier I, Tarr MJ. 1997. Becoming a "Greeble" expert: exploring mechanisms for face recognition. *Vision research* 37: 1673-82
- Geirhos R, Temme C, Rauber J, Schütt H, Bethge M, Wichmann F. 2018. Generalisation in humans and deep neural networks. *arXiv* 1808.08750
- Gonzalez-Bellido PT, Peng H, Yang J, Georgopoulos AP, Olberg RM. 2013. Eight pairs of descending visual neurons in the dragonfly give wing motor centers accurate population vector of prey direction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110: 696-701
- Gosselin F, Schyns PG. 2001. Bubbles: a technique to reveal the use of information in recognition tasks. *Vision research* 41: 2261-71
- Green D, Swets J. 1966. *Signal detection theory and psychophysics*. New York: Wiley.
- Hatsopoulos N, Gabbiani F, Laurent G. 1995. Elementary computation of object approach by a wide-field visual neuron. *Science* 270: 1000-03

- Herrnstein RJ. 1990. Levels of stimulus control: a functional approach. *Cognition* 37: 133-66
- Horowitz TS, Wolfe JM. 1998. Visual search has no memory. *Nature* 394: 575-7
- Intraub H. 1980. Presentation rate and the representation of briefly glimpsed pictures in memory. *J Exp Psychol [Hum Learn]* 6: 1-12
- Ishai A, Sagi D. 1995. Common mechanisms of visual imagery and perception. *Science* 268: 1772-74
- James W. 1890. *The principles of psychology*. New York: Dover.
- Johansson G. 1973. Visual perception of biological motion and a model for its analysis. *Perception and Psychophysics* 14: 201-11
- Johnson J, Olshausen B. 2005a. The earliest EEG signatures of object recognition in a cued-target task are postsensory. *Journal of vision* 5: 299-312
- Johnson JS, Olshausen BA. 2003. Timecourse of neural signatures of object recognition. *Journal of vision* 3: 499-512
- Johnson JS, Olshausen BA. 2005b. The recognition of partially visible natural objects in the presence and absence of their occluders. *Vision research* 45: 3262-76
- Judd SPD, Collet TS. 1998. Multiple stored views and landmark guidance in ants. *Nature* 392: 710-14
- Julesz B. 1991. Early vision and focal attention. *Reviews of Modern Physics*. 63: 735-72
- Julesz B. 1995. *Dialogues on Perception*. MIT Press.
- Karni A, Sagi D. 1993. The time course of learning a visual skill. *Nature* 365: 250-52
- Kellman PJ, Cohen MH. 1984. Kinetic subjective contours. *Perception and Psychophysics*
- Kellman PJ, Yin C, Shipley TF. 1998. A common mechanism for illusory and occluded object completion. *Journal of experimental psychology. Human perception and performance* 24: 859-69
- Kirchner H, Thorpe SJ. 2006. Ultra-rapid object detection with saccadic eye movements: visual processing speed revisited. *Vision research* 46: 1762-76
- Knill DC, Richards W, eds. 1996. *Perception as Bayesian Inference*: Cambridge University Press.
- Kosslyn SM. 1994. *Image and brain*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Li FF, VanRullen R, Koch C, Perona P. 2002. Rapid natural scene categorization in the near absence of attention. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 99: 9596-601.
- Marr D, Nishihara HK. 1978. Representation and recognition of the spatial organization of three-dimensional shapes. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 200: 269-94
- Mollon JD, Boston JM, Peterzell DH, Webster MA. 2017. Individual differences in visual science: What can be learned and what is good experimental practice? *Vision research* 141: 4-15

- Mooney CM. 1957. Age in the development of closure ability in children. *Can J Psychol* 11: 219-26
- Nakayama K, He Z, Shimojo S. 1995. Visual surface representation: a critical link between lower-level and higher-level vision In *Visual cognition*, ed. S Kosslyn, D Osherson. Cambridge: The MIT press
- Nakayama K, Shimojo S. 1990. Toward a neural understanding of visual surface representation. *Cold Spring harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology* LV: 911-24
- Nielsen KJ, Logothetis NK, Rainer G. 2006. Discrimination strategies of humans and rhesus monkeys for complex visual displays. *Current Biology* 16: 814-20
- Nilsson D, Marshall J. 2020. Lens eyes in protists. *Current Biology* 30: R459-R60
- O'Regan J, Rensink R, Clark J. 1999. Change-blindness as a result of 'mudsplashes'. *Nature* 398: 34
- Oliva A, Torralba A. 2007. The role of context in object recognition. *Trends Cogn Sci* 11: 520-7
- Potter M, Levy E. 1969. Recognition memory for a rapid sequence of pictures. *Journal of experimental psychology* 81: 10-15
- Purves D, Lotto RB. 2003. *Why we see what we do : an empirical theory of vision*. Sunderland, Mass.: Sinauer Associates. xi, 260 p. pp.
- Pylyshyn ZW. 2003. Mental imagery: In search of a theory. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 25: 157-+
- Rajalingham R, Issa EB, Bashivan P, Kar K, Schmidt K, DiCarlo JJ. 2018. Large-Scale, High-Resolution Comparison of the Core Visual Object Recognition Behavior of Humans, Monkeys, and State-of-the-Art Deep Artificial Neural Networks. *Journal of Neuroscience* 38: 7255-69
- Rauschenberger R, Yantis S. 2001. Masking unveils pre-amodal completion representation in visual search. *Nature* 410: 369-72
- Reagan D. 2000. *Human perception of objects*. Sinauer.
- Rock I. 1981. Anorthoscopic perception. *Scientific American*
- Sanocki T. 2001. Interaction of scale and time during object identification. *Journal of experimental psychology. Human perception and performance* 27: 290-302
- Sekuler AB, Palmer SE. 1992. Perception of partly occluded objects: a microgenetic analysis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 121: 95-111
- Shepard RN, Metzler J. 1971. Mental rotation of three-dimensional objects. *Science* 171: 701-3
- Shimojo S, Nakayama K. 1990. Real world occlusion constraints and binocular rivalry. *Vision research* 30: 69-80
- Simons DJ, Rensink RA. 2005. Change blindness: past, present, and future. *Trends Cogn Sci* 9: 16-20
- Singer J, Kreiman G. 2014. Short Temporal Asynchrony Disrupts Visual Object Recognition. *Journal of vision* 12
- Singh M. 2004. Modal and amodal completion generate different shapes. *Psychol Sci* 15: 454-9

- Sinha P. 2002. Recognizing complex patterns. *Nature Neuroscience* 5: 1093-97
- Sinha P, Poggio T. 1996. I think I know that face. *Nature* 384: 404.
- Spillmann L, Ehrenstein W. 2003. Gestalt factors in the visual neurosciences. In *The Visual Neurosciences*, ed. L Chalupa, W JS. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press
- Spillmann L, Werner JS. 1996. Long-range interactions in visual perception. *TINS* 19: 428-34
- Srihasam K, Mandeville JB, Morocz IA, Sullivan KJ, Livingstone MS. 2012. Behavioral and anatomical consequences of early versus late symbol training in macaques. *Neuron* 73: 608-19
- Standing L. 1973. Learning 10,000 pictures. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology* 25: 207-22
- Tanaka JW, Farah MJ. 1993. Parts and wholes in face recognition. *The Quarterly journal of experimental psychology. A, Human experimental psychology* 46: 225-45
- Tang H, Kreiman G. 2017. Recognition of occluded objects. In *Computational and Cognitive Neuroscience of Vision*, ed. Q Zhao. Singapore: Springer-Verlag
- Tang H, Lotter W, Schrimpf M, Paredes A, Ortega J, et al. 2018. Recurrent computations for visual pattern completion. *PNAS* 115: 8835-40
- Thompson P, Burr D. 2009. Visual aftereffects. *Current Biology* 19: R11-4
- Thorpe S, Fize D, Marlot C. 1996. Speed of processing in the human visual system. *Nature* 381: 520-22
- Tomonaga M, Matsuzawa T. 1992. Perception of complex geometric figures in chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) and humans (*Homo sapiens*): Analyses of visual similarity on the basis of choice reaction time. *Journal of comparative psychology* 106: 43-52
- Treisman AM, Gelade G. 1980. A feature-integration theory of attention. *Cognit Psychol* 12: 97-136.
- Ullman S, Assif L, Fetaya E, Harari D. 2016. Atoms of recognition in human and computer vision *PNAS* 113: 2744-49
- Valentine T. 1988. Upside-down faces: a review of the effect of inversion upon face recognition. *Br J Psychol* 79 (Pt 4): 471-91
- Vanrullen R. 2011. Four common conceptual fallacies in mapping the time course of recognition. *Frontiers in psychology* 2: 365
- vanRullen R, Thorpe S. 2002. Surfing a Spike Wave down the Ventral Stream. *Vision research* 42: 2593-615
- Vernon M. 1954. *Visual perception*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Vo ML, Boettcher SE, Draschkow D. 2019. Reading scenes: how scene grammar guides attention and aids perception in real-world environments. *Curr Opin Psychol* 29: 205-10
- Wallraven C, Bulthoff H. 2001. Automatic acquisition of exemplar-based representations for recognition from image sequences. *Proceedings of CVPR*

- Wang G, Obama S, Yamashita W, Sugihara T, Tanaka K. 2005. Prior experience of rotation is not required for recognizing objects seen from different angles. *Nature neuroscience* 8: 8
- Whitaker D, McGraw PV. 2000. Long-term visual experience recalibrates human orientation perception. *Nature Neuroscience* 3: 13-14
- Wiggs CL, Martin A. 1998. Properties and mechanisms of perceptual priming. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 8: 227-33
- Wolfe JM. 2007. Guided Search 4.0. *Psychonomic bulletin & review*: 99-119
- Wolfe JM, Horowitz TS. 2004. What attributes guide the deployment of visual attention and how do they do it? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 5: 495-501
- Yin R. 1969. Looking at upside-down faces. *Journal of experimental psychology* 81: 141-45
- Young AW, Hellawell D, Hay DC. 1987. Configurational information in face perception. *Perception* 16: 747-59
- Zoccolan D, Oertelt N, DiCarlo JJ, Cox DD. 2009. A rodent model for the study of invariant visual object recognition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106: 8748-53