Figure 1-figure supplement 1





Figure 2-figure supplement 1



Figure 2-figure supplement 2



Figure 4-figure supplement 1



Figure 4-figure supplement 2



Figure 4-figure supplement 3



Supplementary File 1

Subject #	Subject ID	Age	Gender	# Electrodes	# Trials	Trial length (s)	Language
1	j00018	42	F	87	202	3	English
2	j00023	27	Μ	132	414	2	English
3	j00024	30	Μ	64	337	2	English
4	j00025	32	F	152	439	2	English
5	j00029	50	Μ	101	470	2	English
6	j00031	41	F	87	213	2	English
7	j00033	39	Μ	120	470	2	English
8	m00098	45	F	112	375	2	English
9	m00100	10	F	122	461	2	English
10	m00103	10	F	104	431	2	English
11	tw0005	30	Μ	64	360	2	Mandarin
12	tw0007	34	Μ	40	364	2	Mandarin
13	tw0009	19	Μ	64	452	2	Mandarin
14	tw0012	13	Μ	84	371	2	Mandarin
15	tw0014	24	F	64	470	2	Mandarin

Information about each of the 15 subjects that participated in this study

Supplementary File 2

	Gamma-powe	r, Stroop task	Gamma-power,	Reading task		
Subject # Location	Congruent	Incongruent	Congruent	Incongruent		
1 Superior Frontal Gyrus	1.85	1.94	1.88	1.74		
1 Anterior Cingulate Cortex	3.12	3.14	2.87	2.77		
1 Superior Frontal Gyrus	2.14	2.53	2.06	2.12		
1 Anterior Cingulate Cortex	3.10	3.44	3.01	2.82		
1 Anterior Cingulate Cortex	2.55	3.19	2.25	2.35		
4 Orbital Gyrus	2.29	2.27	2.49	2.31		
5 Frontomarginal Gyrus	1.87	1.80	2.25	2.30		
5 Orbital Gyrus	2.34	2.68	2.13	2.11		
5 Middle Frontal Gyrus	2.07	2.21	2.06	2.09		
5 Orbital Gyrus	2.66	2.41	2.60	2.42		
5 Superior Frontal Gyrus	2.11	1.88	2.08	2.00		
5 Superior Frontal Gyrus	2.33	1.98	2.18	2.10		
5 Middle Frontal Gyrus	2.15	2.47	2.10	2.00		
5 Middle Frontal Gyrus	3.42	3.29	2.21	2.22		
6 Superior Frontal Gyrus	2.02	2.18	-	-		
6 Middle Frontal Gyrus	1.94	2.18	-	-		
7 Frontomarginal Gyrus	1.71	1.48	1.96	2.03		
7 Frontomarginal Gyrus	1.64	1.76	1.92	2.01		
7 Frontomarginal Gyrus	1.67	1.69	1.82	1.88		
7 Middle Frontal Gyrus	1.66	1.83	1.83	1.88		
7 Middle Frontal Gyrus	1.88	2.32	1.80	1.72		
7 Middle Frontal Gyrus	2.49	2.65	2.39	2.44		
7 Middle Frontal Gyrus	2.77	4.14	2.10	1.96		
7 Middle Frontal Gyrus	1.86	2.45	1.89	1.93		
8 Middle Frontal Gyrus	2.27	2.15	2.20	2.15		
8 Orbital Gyrus	2.46	2.34	2.22	2.61		
9 Middle Frontal Gyrus	2.97	3.04	2.96	2.86		
9 Middle Frontal Gyrus	2.32	2.80	2.32	2.43		
9 Middle Frontal Gyrus	2.79	2.65	2.82	2.74		
10 Orbital Gyrus	2.30	2.65	2.50	2.26		
10 Middle Frontal Gyrus	3.50	4.49	4.28	3.24		
11 Orbital Gyrus	2.01	2.29	2.18	1.95		
11 Orbital Gyrus	1.90	2.07	2.09	2.23		
11 Orbital Gyrus	2.14	2.17	1.98	2.16		
11 Middle Frontal Gyrus	2.81	2.98	2.12	2.12		
12 Middle Frontal Gyrus	2.26	2.82	2.19	2.35		
13 Inferior Orbital Gyrus	2.00	2.56	2.17	2.37		
13 Middle Frontal Gyrus	2.11	2.59	2.15	2.18		
14 Middle Frontal Gyrus	2.29	2.89	2.14	2.41		
15 Middle Frontal Gyrus	3.36	4.53	2.66	2.74		
15 Middle Frontal Gyrus	2.55	2.74	2.00	2.01		
15 Middle Frontal Gyrus	7.30	9.26	5.00	5.09		
15 Middle Frontal Gyrus	3.23	4.88	3.37	3.25		
15 Middle Frontal Gvrus	4.04	6.45	3.11	2.74		
15 Middle Frontal Gvrus	3.27	3.60	2.39	2.55		
15 Inferior Orbital Gyrus	3.06	3.22	2.78	2.77		
15 Anterior Cinculate Cortex	3.72	4.34	2.40	2.51		
15 Superior Frontal Gyrus	3.36	3.67	2.31	2.51		
15 Superior Frontal Gyrus	2.84	4.05	2.22	1.98		
	2.01					

15 Superior Frontal Gyrus	1.96	2.27	1.88	1.92
15 Superior Frontal Gyrus	2.34	2.50	1.94	2.10

Information about each of the 51 electrodes that were modulated by conflict

Supplementary File 3

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
Anterior Cingulate Cortex	3														1	4
Medial Frontal Cortex	2				2	1									4	9
Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex					3	1	5	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	6	24
Orbitofrontal Cortex				1	3		3	1		1	3		1		1	14
Total	5	0	0	1	8	2	8	2	3	2	4	1	2	1	12	51

Distribution of the 51 electrodes that were modulated by conflict across the four frontal cortex areas and the 15 subjects in our study.

Cascade of neural processing orchestrates cognitive control in human frontal cortex

Tang H, Yu H, Chou C, Crone N, Madsen J, Anderson W, Kreiman G

Supplementary File 4

Description	Location	Effects tested	Statistical Test	n definition
Performance (Task X Congruency)	Results, para 2	Task X Congruency	ANOVA	15 subjects
Performance (Stroop)	Results, para 2	C vs I	paired t-test	15 subjects
Performance (Reading)	Results, para 2	C vs I	paired t-test	14 subjects
RT (Task x Congruency)	Results, para 2	Task X Congruency	ANOVA	15 subjects
RT (Stroop)	Results, para 2	C vs I	paired t-test	15 subjects
RT (Reading)	Results, para 2	C vs I	paired t-test	14 subjects
RT (Previous X Current)	Results, para 2	Previous X Current	ANOVA	15 subjects
RT (cl vs il)	Results, para 2	cl vs il	paired t-test	14 subjects
RT (iC vs. cC)	Results, para 2	iC vs cC	paired t-test	14 subjects
Gamma Power (C vs I, Stroop)	Results, para 5	C vs I	non-parametric ANOVA	150 trials
Gamma Power (Task X Congruency)	Results, para 5	Task X Congruency	non-parametric ANOVA	160 trials
False Positive analysis (ACC)	Results, para 7	N/A	permutation test	10,000 shuffles
False Positive analysis (mFC)	Results, para 7	N/A	permutation test	10,000 shuffles
False Positive analysis (dIPFC)	Results, para 7	N/A	permutation test	10,000 shuffles
False Positive analysis (OFC)	Results, para 7	N/A	permutation test	10,000 shuffles
Correlation of Gamma with RT	Fig. 2H	N/A	Pearson correlation	84 conflict trials
Gamma Power (Task X Congruency)	Fig. 4A	Task X Congruency	MLM, likelihood ratio test	51 electrodes
Gamma Power (C vs I, Stroop)	Fig. 4A	C vs I	MLM, likelihood ratio test	51 electrodes
Gamma Power (C vs I, Reading)	Fig. 4A	C vs I	MLM, likelihood ratio test	51 electrodes
Gamma Power (Trial X Congruency)	Fig. 4D	Trial X Congruency	MLM, likelihood ratio test	51 electrodes
Gamma Power (cl vs il, Stroop)	Fig. 4D	cl vs il	MLM, likelihood ratio test	51 electrodes
Gamma Power (cl vs il, Reading)	Fig. 4D	cl vs il	MLM, likelihood ratio test	51 electrodes
Gamma Power (Trial X Congruency)	Fig. 4E	Trial X Congruency	MLM, likelihood ratio test	51 electrodes
Gamma Power (iC vs cC Stroop)	Fig. 4E	iC vs cC	MLM, likelihood ratio test	51 electrodes
Gamma Power (iC vs cC Reading)	Fig. 4E	iC vs cC	MLM, likelihood ratio test	51 electrodes

Error vs Correction (ACC electrode)Fig. 5BError vs Correction (dIPFC electrode)Fig. 5DLatency by RegionFig. 6Latency (ACC-MFC)Fig. 6Latency (ACC-dIPFC)Fig. 6Latency (dIPFC-OFC)Fig. 6

Definition

(m1-m2)/(pooled SD)/sqrt(1-r)

(R^2_model-R^2_null)/(1-R^2_model)

Standard deviation from null distribution

SS between/SS total

Effect size measures

Cohen's d (repeated measures) Eta-squared f-squared s.d. Error vs Correctionsign-rank testError vs Correctionsign-rank testN/Apermutation testN/Apermutation testN/Apermutation testN/Apermutation testN/Apermutation test

11 trials 10 trials 51 electrodes 51 electrodes 51 electrodes 51 electrodes

Source

Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the Pearson, K. (1911). On a correction needful in the c Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the

test statistic	p-value	d.f.	Effect Size	
F = 22.87	p = 0.0004	1	0.12	Eta-squared
t = 4.9	p = 2.4e-04	14	1.9	Cohen's d
t = 0.32	p = 0.76	13	0.12	Cohen's d
F = 65.23	p = 2e-06	1	0.04	Eta-squared
t = 8.96	p = 3.5e-07	14	3.42	Cohen's d
t = -2.6	p = 0.02	13	1.29	Cohen's d
F = 19.46	p = 0.0006	1	0.02	Eta-squared
t = 2.4	p = 0.03	13	0.88	Cohen's d
t = 4.6	p = 0.0004	13	1.7	Cohen's d
F = 36.6	p < 0.0001	149	N/A	
F = 13.5	p = 0.007	159	N/A	
n = 4	p = 0.007	N/A	4.1	s.d.
n = 9	p = 0.0007	N/A	6.3	s.d.
n = 24	p < 0.0001	N/A	14.9	s.d.
n = 14	p < 0.0001	N/A	9.7	s.d.
r = 0.25	p = 0.02	83	0.25	r
χ ² =9.2	p = 0.002	1	0.06	f-squared
χ ² =19.7	p < 0.0001	1	0.48	f-squared
χ ² =0.34	p = 0.56	1	0.007	f-squared
χ ² =4.4	p = 0.03	1	0.03	f-squared
χ ² =11	p < 0.0001	1	0.25	f-squared
χ ² =0.12	p = 0.72	1	0.002	f-squared
χ ² =1.9	p = 0.17	1	0.01	f-squared
χ ² =1.9	p = 0.16	1	0.002	f-squared
χ ² =1.8	p = 0.19	1	0.001	f-squared

signedrank=0	p = 0.001	10	1.76 Cohen's d
signedrank=0	p = 0.002	9	2.69 Cohen's d
F = 7.2	p = 0.01	50	3.5 s.d.
388 ms	p = 0.0012	50	2 s.d.
207 ms	p = 0.016	50	2.2 s.d.
319 ms	p = 0.009	50	2.3 s.d.

behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates. case of the correlation ratio. Biometrika, 8, 254-256 behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates.